

WOLFF'S PROBLEM OF IDEALS IN THE MULTIPLIER ALGEBRA ON WEIGHTED DIRICHLET SPACE

DEBENDRA P. BANJADE AND TAVAN T. TRENT

ABSTRACT. We establish an analogue of Wolff's theorem on ideals in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ for the multiplier algebra of weighted Dirichlet space.

In this paper we wish to extend a theorem of Wolff, concerning ideals in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, to the setting of multiplier algebras on weighted Dirichlet spaces. Our techniques will closely follow those used in Banjade-Trent [BT] for the (unweighted) Dirichlet space. The new material requires the boundedness of a certain singular integral operator (Lemma 3) and the boundedness of the Beurling transform (Lemma 4) on some L^2 spaces with weights.

In 1962 Carleson [C] proved his famous ‘‘Corona theorem’’ characterizing when a finitely generated ideal in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is actually all of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Independently, Rosenblum [R], Tolokonnikov [To], and Uchiyama gave an infinite version of Carleson's work on $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. In an effort to classify ideal membership for finitely-generated ideals in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, Wolff [G] proved the following version:

Theorem A (Wolff). *If*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset H^\infty(\mathbb{D}), H \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \quad \text{and} \\ &|H(z)| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

then

$$H^3 \in \mathcal{I}(\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n),$$

the ideal generated by $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n$ in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

It is known that (1) is not, in general, sufficient for H itself or even for H^2 to be in $\mathcal{I}(\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n)$, see Rao [G] and Treil [T].

For the algebra of multipliers on Dirichlet space, the analogue of Wolff's ideal theorem was established by the authors in [BT]. Since

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30H50, 31C25, 46J20 .

Key words and phrases. corona theorem, Wolff's theorem, weighted Dirichlet space.

the analogue of the corona theorem for the algebra of multipliers on weighted Dirichlet space was established in Kidane-Trent [KT], it seems plausible that Wolff-type ideal results should be extended to the algebra of multipliers on weighted Dirichlet space. This is what we intend to do in this paper.

We use \mathcal{D}_α to denote the weighted Dirichlet space on the unit disk, \mathbb{D} . That is,

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{ f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is analytic on } \mathbb{D} \text{ and for } f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^\alpha |a_n|^2 < \infty \}.$$

We will use other equivalent norms for smooth functions in \mathcal{D}_α as follows,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^2 d\sigma + \int_D |f'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^2 d\sigma + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^2}{|e^{it} - e^{i\theta}|^{1+\alpha}} d\sigma d\theta.$$

For ease of notation, we will denote $(1 - |z|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z)$ by $dA_\alpha(z)$. Also, we will consider $\bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ as an l^2 -valued weighted Dirichlet space. The norms in this case are exactly as above but we will replace the absolute value by l^2 -norms. Moreover, we use \mathcal{HD}_α to denote the harmonic weighted Dirichlet space (restricted to the boundary of \mathbb{D}). The functions in \mathcal{D}_α have only vanishing negative Fourier coefficients whereas the functions in \mathcal{HD}_α may have negative fourier coefficients which do not vanish. Again, if f is smooth on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, the boundary of the unit disk \mathbb{D} , then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{HD}_\alpha}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^2 d\sigma + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(e^{it}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^2}{|e^{it} - e^{i\theta}|^{1+\alpha}} d\sigma d\theta.$$

We use $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ to denote the multiplier algebra of weighted Dirichlet space, defined as: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha : \phi f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \}$, and we will denote the multiplier algebra of harmonic weighted Dirichlet space by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{HD}_\alpha)$, defined similarly (but only on $\partial\mathbb{D}$).

Given $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$, we consider $F(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We define the row operator $M_F^R : \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ by

$$M_F^R \left(\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \right) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j h_j \text{ for } \{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha.$$

Similarly, we define the column operator $M_F^C : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ by

$$M_F^C(h) = \{f_j h\}_{j=1}^\infty \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha.$$

We notice that \mathcal{D}_α is a reproducing kernel (r.k.) Hilbert space with r.k.

$$K_w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+1)^\alpha} (z\bar{w})^n \text{ for } z, w \in \mathbb{D}$$

and it is well known (see [S]) that

$$\frac{1}{k_w(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n (z\bar{w})^n, \quad c_n > 0, \text{ for all } n.$$

Hence, weighted Dirichlet space has a reproducing kernel with “one positive square” or a “complete Nevanlinna-Pick” kernel. This property will be used to complete the first part of our proof.

We know that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, but $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) \neq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ (e.g., $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z^{4m+1}}{n^{2m\alpha}}$, $m = \lceil \frac{1}{\alpha} \rceil + 1$, $z \in D$, is in $H^\infty(D)$ but is not in \mathcal{D}_α and so neither in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$). Hence, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) \subsetneq H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{D}_\alpha$.

Also, it is worthwhile to note that the pointwise hypothesis that $F(z) F(z)^* \leq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ implies that the analytic Toeplitz operators T_F^R and T_F^C defined on $\bigoplus_1^\infty H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, in analogy to that of M_F^R and M_F^C , are bounded and

$$\|T_F^R\| = \|T_F^C\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1.$$

But, since $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) \subsetneq H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, the pointwise upperbound hypothesis will not be sufficient to conclude that M_F^R and M_F^C are bounded on weighted Dirichlet space. However, $\|M_F^R\| \leq \sqrt{10} \|M_F^C\|$. Thus, we will replace the natural normalization that $F(z) F(z)^* \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ by the stronger condition that $\|M_F^C\| \leq 1$.

Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $H, \{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Assume that*

$$(a) \|M_F^C\| \leq 1$$

$$\text{and } (b) |H(z)| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j(z)|^2} \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then there exists $K(\alpha) < \infty$ and there exists $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ with

$$\|M_G^C\| \leq K(\alpha)$$

$$\text{and } F G^T = H^3.$$

Of course, it should be noted that for only a finite number of multipliers, $\{f_j\}$, condition (a) of Theorem 1 can always be assumed, so we have the exact analogue of Wolff's theorem in the finite case.

First, let's outline the method of our proof. Assume that $F \in \mathcal{M}_{l^2}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ and $H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ satisfy the hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorem 1. Then we show that there exists a constant $K(\alpha) < \infty$, so that

$$M_{H^3} M_{H^3}^* \leq K(\alpha)^2 M_F^R M_F^{*R}. \quad (2)$$

Given (2), a commutant lifting theorem argument as it appears in, for example, Trent [Tr2] completes the proof by providing a $G \in \mathcal{M}_{l^2}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$, so that $\|M_G^C\| \leq K(\alpha)$ and $F G^T = H^3$.

But (2) is equivalent to the following: there exists a constant $K(\alpha) < \infty$ so that, for any $h \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, there exists $\underline{u}_h \in \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & M_F^R(\underline{u}_h) = H^3 h \quad \text{and} \\ (ii) \quad & \|\underline{u}_h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} \leq K(\alpha) \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Hence, our goal is to show that (3) follows from (a) and (b). For this we need a series of lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in l^2$ and $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in B(l^2, \mathbb{C})$. Then there exists Q such that the entries of Q are either 0 or $\pm c_j$ for some j and $CC^*I - C^*C = QQ^*$. Also, range of Q = kernel of C .*

We will apply this lemma in our case with $C = F(z)$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, when $F(z) \neq 0$. A proof of this lemma can be found in Trent [Tr2].

Given condition (b) of Theorem 1 for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $F \in \mathcal{M}_{l^2}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ and $H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ with H being not identically zero, we lose no generality assuming that $H(0) \neq 0$. If $H(0) = 0$, but $H(a) \neq 0$, let $\beta(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then since (b) holds for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, it holds for $\beta(z)$. So we may replace H and F by $Ho\beta$ and $Fo\beta$, respectively. If we prove our theorem for $Ho\beta$ and $Fo\beta$, then there exists $G \in \mathcal{M}_{l^2}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ so that $(Fo\beta)G = Ho\beta$ and hence $F(Go\beta^{-1}) = H$ and $Go\beta^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{l^2}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$, and we are done. Thus, we may assume that $H(0) \neq 0$ in (b), so $\|F(0)\|_2 \neq 0$. This normalization will let us apply some relevant lemmas from [Tr1].

It suffices to establish (i) and (ii) for any dense set of functions in \mathcal{D}_α , so we will use polynomials. First, we will assume F and H are analytic on $\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}(0)$. In this case, we write the most general solution of the pointwise problem on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and find an analytic solution with uniform bounds. Then we remove the smoothness hypotheses on F and H .

For a polynomial, h , we take

$$\underline{u}_h(z) = F(z)^* (F(z)F(z)^*)^{-1} H^3 h - Q(z)\underline{k}(z), \text{ where } \underline{k}(z) \in l^2 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We have to find $\underline{k}(z)$ so that $\underline{u}_h \in \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Thus we want $\bar{\partial}_z \underline{u}_h = 0$ in \mathbb{D} .

Therefore, we will try

$$\underline{u}_h = \frac{F^* H^3 h}{F F^*} - Q \left(\frac{\widehat{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}}{(F F^*)^2} \right),$$

where \widehat{k} is the Cauchy transform of k on \mathbb{D} . Note that for k smooth on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\widehat{k}(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{k(w)}{w-z} dA(w) \text{ and } \bar{\partial} \widehat{k}(z) = k(z) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

See [A] for background on the Cauchy transform.

Then it's clear that $M_F^R(\underline{u}_h) = H^3 h$ and \underline{u}_h is analytic. Hence, we will be done in the smooth case if we are able to find $K(\alpha) < \infty$, only depending on α and thus independent of the polynomial, h , such that

$$\|\underline{u}_h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} \leq K(\alpha) \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2. *Let \underline{w} be a harmonic function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then*

$$\int_D \|Q' \underline{w}\|_{l^2}^2 dA_\alpha \leq 8 \|\underline{w}\|_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.$$

Proof of this lemma can be found in [BT].

Lemma 3. *Let the operator T be defined on $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ by*

$$(Tf)(z) = \int_D \frac{f(u)}{(u-z)(1-u\bar{z})} dA_\alpha,$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$. Then

$$\|Tf\|_{A_\alpha}^2 \leq 4\pi^2 C_\alpha^2 \|f\|_{A_\alpha}^2,$$

where $C_\alpha = \frac{8}{\alpha^2}$.

Proof. To show that the singular integral operator, T , is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$, we apply Zygmund's method of rotations [Z] and apply Schur's lemma an infinite number of times.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{jk} z^j \bar{z}^k$, where $a_{ij} = 0$ except for a finite number of terms. For $z = r e^{i\theta}$, we relabel to get

$$f(r e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_l(r) e^{il\theta}, \text{ where } f_l(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{l+k,k} r^{l+2k}.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha}^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_l(r)\|_{L_\alpha^2[0,1]},$$

where the measure on $L_\alpha^2[0,1]$ is “ $(1-r^2)^{1-\alpha} r dr$ ”.

Now computing as in [BT], we deduce that

$$(Tf)(se^{it}) = 2\pi \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(l-1)t} (T_l f_l)(s),$$

$$\text{for } (T_l f_l)(s) = \begin{cases} -\left(\sum_{n=0}^{-l} s^{2n}\right) \int_0^1 \chi_{(0,s)}(r) \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{1-l} f_l(r) dr \\ \quad + \frac{1}{1-s^2} \int_0^1 \chi_{(s,1)}(r) (rs)^{1-l} f_l(r) dr & \text{for } l \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{1-s^2} \int_0^1 \chi_{(s,1)}(r) \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{l-1} f_0(r) r dr & \text{for } l > 0. \end{cases}$$

By our construction,

$$\|Tf\|_{A_\alpha}^2 = 4\pi^2 \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|T_l f_l\|_{L_\alpha^2[0,1]}^2,$$

where the measure on $L^2[0,1]$ is “ $(1-r^2)^{1-\alpha} r dr$ ”. Thus, to prove our lemma it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_l \|T_l\|_{B(L_\alpha^2[0,1])} \leq C_\alpha < \infty.$$

To illustrate the technique, we show a detailed estimate for $\|T_0\|_{B(L_\alpha^2[0,1])}$. The other cases follow similarly.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 |T_0 f_0(s e^{it})|^2 (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} s ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(u) f_0(v) \left(\int_{\max\{u,v\}}^1 \frac{(1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} ds}{s} \right) u du v dv \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(x) f_0(y) \left[\int_0^{\min\{x,y\}} \frac{s^2 (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-s^2)^2} s ds \right] x dx y dy. \end{aligned}$$

Claim(I):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(u) f_0(v) \left(\int_{\max\{u,v\}}^1 \frac{(1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} ds}{s} \right) u du v dv \\ & \leq \frac{25}{16} \int_0^1 |f_0(u)|^2 (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} u du. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(u) f_0(v) \left(\int_{\max\{u,v\}}^1 \frac{(1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} ds}{s} \right) u du v dv \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(u) f_0(v) \left[\frac{(1-\max(u^2, v^2))^{1-\alpha}}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\max\{u, v\}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha} u du v dv. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Schur's Test with $p(u) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^v \left[\frac{(1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) \right] (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} u du \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{v^2} \right) \frac{v^2}{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get $\int_v^1 \left[\frac{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{u} \right) \right] (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} u du \leq 1$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{(1 - \max(u^2, v^2))^{1-\alpha}}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{\max\{u, v\}} \right) \right] p(u) (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} u du \\ \leq \frac{5}{4} p(v). \end{aligned}$$

Claim(II):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(x) f_0(y) \left[\int_0^{\min\{x, y\}} \frac{s^2 (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-s^2)^2} s ds \right] x dx y dy \\ \leq \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \int_0^1 |f_0(x)|^2 (1-x^2)^{1-\alpha} x dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(x) f_0(y) \left[\int_0^{\min\{x, y\}} \frac{s^2 (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-s^2)^2} s ds \right] x dx y dy \\ = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(x) f_0(y) \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\min\{x^2, y^2\}} \frac{s}{(1-s)^{1+\alpha}} ds \right] x dx y dy \\ \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_0(x) f_0(y) \left[\frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{\min\{x^2, y^2\}}{(1 - \min\{x^2, y^2\})^\alpha} \right] x dx y dy. \end{aligned}$$

For this term, we take $p(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^\beta}$, where $\beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then, calculating, we get that

$$\int_0^y \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{x^2}{(1-x^2)^{\alpha+\beta}} \frac{1}{(1-y^2)^{1-\alpha}} x dx \leq \frac{1}{4\alpha(\beta + \alpha - 1)} \frac{1}{(1-y^2)^\beta}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_y^1 \frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{y^2}{(1-y^2)^\alpha} \frac{1}{(1-y^2)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^\beta} x dx \leq \frac{1}{4\alpha(\beta - 1)} \frac{1}{(1-y^2)^\beta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{\min\{x^2, y^2\}}{(1 - \min\{x^2, y^2\})^\alpha (1 - x^2)^{1-\alpha} (1 - y^2)^{1-\alpha}} \right] p(x) (1 - x^2)^{1-\alpha} x dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4\alpha(\beta + \alpha - 1)} + \frac{1}{4\alpha(1 - \beta)} \right) p(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\beta + \alpha - 1)(1 - \beta)} p(y) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} p(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^1 |T_0 f_0(s)|^2 (1 - s^2)^{1-\alpha} s ds \leq C_{\alpha_0}^2 \int_0^1 |f_0(s)|^2 (1 - s^2)^{1-\alpha} s ds,$$

where $C_{\alpha_0} = \left[\frac{5}{2} + \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \right] \leq \frac{5}{\alpha^2}$.

Applying Schur's test for $l > 1$ with $p(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^\beta}$, $\beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, we get the estimate $C_l \leq \frac{5}{\alpha^2}$, independent of l . Similarly, for $l < 0$ with $p(x) = 1$ and $p(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^\beta}$, for each of the two terms, respectively, we get the estimate $C_l \leq 6 + \frac{2}{\alpha^2}$, independent of l . Thus we conclude that

$$\sup_l \|T_l\|_{B(L_\alpha^2[0,1])} \leq \frac{8}{\alpha^2}.$$

This finishes the proof of the Lemma. \square

A classical treatment of the Beurling transform can be found in Zygmund [Z]. For our purposes, we define the Beurling transform formally by

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi) = \partial_z(\hat{\phi}),$$

where ϕ is in $C^1(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $\hat{\phi}$ is the Cauchy transform of ϕ on \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{B} denote the Beurling transform. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{B}(f)\|_{A_\alpha} \leq \frac{23}{\alpha} \|f\|_{A_\alpha}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha).$$

Proof. To show that the Beurling transform, \mathcal{B} , is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{D}, A_\alpha)$, we again apply Zygmund's method of rotations [Z] and apply Schur's lemma.

As in Lemma 3, we take

$$f(r e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_l(r) e^{il\theta}, \quad \text{where } f_l(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{l+k} r^{l+2k}.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha}^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_l(r)\|_{L_\alpha^2[0,1]},$$

where the measure on $L_\alpha^2[0,1]$ is “ $(1-r^2)^{1-\alpha} r dr$ ”.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(w) &= -\frac{2}{2\pi} \int_D \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dA(z) \\ &= 2 \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{|w|} \frac{f_l(r) e^{i(l+n)\theta}}{w^{n+1}} r^{n+1} dr d\sigma(\theta) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{|w|}^1 \frac{f_l(r) e^{i(l-1-n)\theta} w^n}{r^n} dr d\sigma(\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (\star)$$

If we take $l = 0$ in (\star) , we get that

$$\widehat{f}_0(w) = \frac{2}{w} \int_0^{|w|} f_0(r) r dr.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \widehat{f}_0(w) &= \frac{-2}{w^2} \int_0^{|w|} f_0(r) r dr + \frac{2}{w} f_0(|w|) |w| \frac{\partial(|w|)}{\partial w} \\ &= \frac{-2}{w^2} \int_0^{|w|} f_0(r) r dr + \frac{\overline{w}}{w} f_0(|w|), \end{aligned}$$

since $\overline{w} = \frac{\partial|w|^2}{\partial w} = 2|w| \frac{\partial|w|}{\partial w}$, $\frac{\partial|w|}{\partial w} = \frac{\overline{w}}{2|w|}$.

Thus,

$$\mathcal{B}f_0(se^{it}) = \partial \widehat{f}_0(se^{it}) = e^{-2it} \left[\frac{-2}{s^2} \int_0^s f_0(r) r dr + f_0(s) \right].$$

Similarly, a computation shows that

$$\mathcal{B}(f)(se^{it}) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(l-2)t} \mathcal{B}_l f_l(s),$$

$$\text{for } \mathcal{B}_l f_l(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{-2}{s^2} \int_0^s f_0(r) r dr + f_0(s) & \text{for } l = 0 \\ -2(l-1)s^{l-2} \int_s^1 \frac{f_l(r)}{r^{l-1}} dr - f_l(s) & \text{for } l \geq 1 \\ -2(1-l)s^{l-2} \int_0^s f_l(r) r^{1-l} dr + f_l(s) & \text{for } l < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{B}f\|_{A_\alpha}^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{B}_l f_l\|_{L_\alpha^2[0,1]}^2,$$

where the measure on $L_\alpha^2[0,1]$ is “ $(1-r^2)^{1-\alpha} r dr$ ”.

Claim:

$$\sup_l \|\mathcal{B}_l\|_{B(L_\alpha^2[0,1])} \leq \frac{23}{\alpha} < \infty.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $f_l(s) \geq 0$ for all l . For $l < 2$, applying Schur's test with $p(u) = 1$ or $p(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}$, we get that $\|\mathcal{B}_l\|_{B(L_\alpha^2[0,1])} \leq 7$. The main cases occur for $l \geq 2$. So let $l \geq 2$ be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}_l f_l\|_{L_\alpha^2[0,1]} &\leq 2 \left(\int_0^1 \left| - (l-1)s^{l-2} \int_s^1 \frac{f_l(r)}{r^{l-1}} dr \right|^2 (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} s ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \|f_l\|_{L_\alpha^2[0,1]} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &(l-1)^2 \int_0^1 s^{2(l-2)} \left| \int_0^1 \chi_{(s,1)}(r) \frac{f_l(r)}{r^{l-1}} dr \right|^2 (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} s ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_l(u) f_l(v) \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{1}{v^l} \frac{\int_0^{\min\{u,v\}} s^{2(l-2)} (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} s ds}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}} \right] \\ &\quad (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha} u du v dv. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Schur's test with $p(u) = \frac{1}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha}}$, then it's sufficient to show that

$$\int_0^1 \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{\int_0^{\min\{u,v\}} s^{2l-3} (1-s^2)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha}} \right] u du \leq C_l v^l.$$

Since $(1+s)^{1-\alpha} \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{(1+u)^{1-\alpha}} \leq 1$, we will be done if we are able to show

$$\int_0^1 \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{\int_0^{\min\{u,v\}} s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] u du \leq C_l v^l.$$

So we are trying to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^v \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{\int_0^u s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] u du &\leq C_l v^l \quad \text{and} \\ \int_v^1 \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{\int_0^v s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] u du &\leq C_l v^l. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^v \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{\int_0^u s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] u du \\ = \int_0^v \left[(l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \int_s^v \frac{du}{u^{l-1} (1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = (1-u)^\alpha$ and change variables. Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^v \left[(l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \int_s^v \frac{du}{u^{l-1} (1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds \\ = \int_0^v \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \int_{(1-v)^\alpha}^{(1-s)^\alpha} \frac{dt}{\left(1 - t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)^{(l-2)+1}} \right] ds \\ = \int_0^v \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \binom{l-2+p}{p} \int_{(1-v)^\alpha}^{(1-s)^\alpha} t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} dt \right] ds \\ \leq \int_0^v \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l-2+p)!}{(l-2)! p!} \left[\frac{((1-s)^\alpha)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}+1}}{\frac{p}{\alpha}+1} \right] \right] ds \\ \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(l-3+q)!}{(l-3)! q!} \frac{(1-s)^q}{(1-s)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds \\ = \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} \left(\frac{1}{(1-(1-s))^{l-3+1}} - 1 \right) \right] ds \\ \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} \left(\frac{1}{s^{l-2}} \right) \right] ds \\ \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} v^l. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_v^1 \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{\int_0^v s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] u du \\ &= \int_0^v \left[(l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \int_v^1 \frac{du}{u^{l-1} (1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Again, change variables with $t = (1-u)^\alpha$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^v \left[(l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \int_v^1 \frac{du}{u^{l-1} (1-u)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds \\ &= \int_0^v \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{(1-v)^\alpha} \frac{dt}{\left(1-t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)^{l-1}} \right] ds \\ &= \int_0^v \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \binom{l-2+p}{p} \int_0^{(1-v)^\alpha} t^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} dt \right] ds \\ &= \int_0^v \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (l-1)^2 s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l-3+p+1)!}{(l-2)(l-3)!p!} \left[\frac{(1-v)^{p+\alpha}}{p+1} \right] \right] ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(l-3+q)!}{(l-3)!q!} \frac{(1-v)^q}{(1-v)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(1-(1-v))^{l-3+1}} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{(1-v)^{1-\alpha}} \right] ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{v^{l-2}} \right) \frac{(1-v)^\alpha}{(1-v)} \right] ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(l-1) s^{2l-3} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} (1-s)^\alpha \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{1-v} \right) \frac{1}{v^{l-2}} \right] ds \\ &= \frac{2(l-1)}{\alpha} \int_0^v \left[(s^{2l-3} - s^{2l-2}) \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{1-v} \right) \frac{1}{v^{l-2}} \right] ds \\ &= \frac{2(l-1)}{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{v^{2l-2}}{2l-2} - \frac{v^{2l-1}}{2l-1} \right) \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{1-v} \right) \frac{1}{v^{l-2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{2(l-1)v^l}{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{(1-v)}{2l-2} + v \left(\frac{1}{2l-2} - \frac{1}{2l-1} \right) \right) \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{1-v} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} v^l + \frac{2(l-1)v^{l+1}}{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2(l-1)(2l-1)} \right) \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{1-v} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} v^l + \frac{v^{l+1}}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{2l-1} \left(\frac{1-v^{l-2}}{1-v} \right) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} v^l + \frac{v^{l+1}}{\alpha} \frac{(l-2)}{(2l-1)} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} v^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \left[(l-1)^2 \frac{1}{u^l} \frac{1}{v^l} \frac{\int_0^{\min\{u^2, v^2\}} s^{(l-2)} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds}{(1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} (1-v^2)^{1-\alpha}} \right] p(u) (1-u^2)^{1-\alpha} u du \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\alpha} p(v).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\sup_l \|\mathcal{B}_l\|_{B(L_\alpha^2[0,1])} \leq 15 + \frac{8}{\alpha} \leq \frac{23}{\alpha}.$$

□

Lemma 5. *If Q is a multiplier of \mathcal{D}_α , then*

$$(1 - |z|^2) |Q'(z)| \leq \|M_Q\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)} \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proof. Define $\varphi : D \rightarrow D$ as $\varphi(z) = \frac{Q(z)}{\|M_Q\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Now use the Schwarz lemma and the fact that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty, \mathbb{D}} \leq \|M_\varphi\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}$ to complete the proof. □

Lemma 6. *If $H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$, then $|H'|^2 dA_\alpha$ is a \mathcal{D}_α -Carleson measure with the constant $4\|M_H\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}^2$.*

Proof. To prove the lemma, we need to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |H'|^2 |g|^2 dA_\alpha \leq 4\|M_H\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}^2 \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |H'|^2 |g|^2 dA_\alpha &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(Hg)' - Hg'|^2 dA_\alpha \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(Hg)'|^2 dA_\alpha + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |Hg'|^2 dA_\alpha \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |Hg|^2 d\sigma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(Hg)'|^2 dA_\alpha + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |Hg'|^2 dA_\alpha \\
&\leq 2 \|M_H g\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 + 2 \|M_H\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}^2 \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \\
&\leq 4 \|M_H\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}^2 \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

This proves the lemma.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. First, we will prove the theorem for smooth functions on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and get a uniform bound. Then we will use a compactness argument to remove the smoothness hypothesis.

Assume that (a) and (b) of Theorem 1 hold for F and H and that F and H are analytic on $\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}(0)$. Our main goal is to show that there exists a constant, $K(\alpha) < \infty$, independent of ϵ , so that for any polynomial, h , there exists $\underline{u}_h \in \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ such that $M_F^R(\underline{u}_h) = H^3 h$ and $\|\underline{u}_h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \leq K(\alpha) \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2$.

We take $\underline{u}_h = \frac{F^* H^3 h}{F F^*} - Q\left(\widehat{\frac{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}{(F F^*)^2}}\right)$. Then \underline{u}_h is analytic and $M_F^R(\underline{u}_h) = H^3 h$. We know that

$$\|\underline{u}_h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|\underline{u}_h(e^{it})\|^2 d\sigma(t) + \int_D \|(\underline{u}_h(z))'\|^2 dA_\alpha(z).$$

Condition (b) implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\| \frac{F^* H^3 h}{F F^*} - Q\left(\widehat{\frac{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}{(F F^*)^2}}\right) \right\|^2 d\sigma(t) \leq 15 \|h\|_\sigma^2 \text{ (see [Tr1])}.$$

Hence, we only need to show that

$$\int_D \left\| \left(\frac{F^* H^3 h}{F F^*} - Q\left(\widehat{\frac{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}{(F F^*)^2}}\right) \right)' \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq K(\alpha)^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2$$

for some $K(\alpha) < \infty$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_D \left\| \left(\frac{F^\star H^3 h}{FF^\star} - Q \left(\frac{Q^\star \widehat{F'^\star H^3 h}}{(FF^\star)^2} \right) \right)' \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
& \leq \underbrace{5 \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star 3H^2 H' h}{FF^\star} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z)}_{(a')} + \underbrace{5 \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star H^3 h'}{FF^\star} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z)}_{(b')} \\
& \quad + \underbrace{5 \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star H^3 h' F' F^\star}{(FF^\star)^2} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z)}_{(c')} + \underbrace{5 \int_D \left\| Q' \left(\frac{Q^\star \widehat{F'^\star H^3 h}}{(FF^\star)^2} \right) \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z)}_{(d')} \\
& \quad + \underbrace{5 \int_D \left\| Q \left(\frac{Q^\star \widehat{F'^\star H^3 h}}{(FF^\star)^2} \right)' \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z)}_{(e')}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(a') &= \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star 3H^2 H' h}{FF^\star} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) = 9 \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star}{\sqrt{FF^\star}} \frac{H}{\sqrt{FF^\star}} H H' h \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq 9 \int_D \|H' h\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq 36 \|M_H\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)}^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \quad \text{by Lemma 6.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(b') = \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star H^3 h'}{FF^\star} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq \int_D \|h'\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(c') &= \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star H^3 h F' F^\star}{(FF^\star)^2} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) = \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star F' F^\star}{\sqrt{FF^\star}} \frac{H^2}{FF^\star} \frac{H}{\sqrt{FF^\star}} h \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq \int_D \left\| \frac{F^\star F' F^\star}{\sqrt{FF^\star}} h \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq \int_D \|F'^\star h\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq 4 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We use condition (a) and Lemma 3 to estimate (e').

$$\begin{aligned}
(e') &= \int_D \|Q \left(\frac{\widehat{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}}{(F F^*)^2} \right)'\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq \int_D \left\| \left(\frac{\widehat{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}}{(F F^*)^2} \right)'\right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \quad (\text{since } \|Q(z)\|_{B(l^2)} \leq 1) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{23}{\alpha}\right)^2 \int_D \left\| \frac{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}{(F F^*)^2} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4}) \\
&\leq 4 \left(\frac{23}{\alpha}\right)^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So we only need estimate (d') . For this, we have

$$\int_D \|Q' \left(\frac{\widehat{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}}{(F F^*)^2} \right)\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) = \int_D \|Q' \widehat{w}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z),$$

where $\widehat{w} = \left(\frac{\widehat{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}}{(F F^*)^2} \right)$ is a smooth function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Therefore,

$$\int_D \|Q' \widehat{w}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq 2 \underbrace{\int_D \|Q' \widehat{w} - Q' \widetilde{w}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z)}_{(f')} + 2 \int_D \|Q' \widetilde{w}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z),$$

where $\widetilde{w}(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-e^{-it}z|} \widehat{w}(e^{it}) d\sigma(t)$ is the harmonic extension of \widehat{w} from $\partial\mathbb{D}$ to \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 2 tells us that

$$\int_D \|Q' \widetilde{w}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq 8 \|\widetilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.$$

Also, Lemmas 10 and 11 of [KT] imply that there is a $C_1 < \infty$, independent of w and α , satisfying

$$\|\widetilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \leq C_1 \|w\|_{A_\alpha}^2.$$

But, as we showed above

$$\|w\|_{A_\alpha}^2 = \int_D \left\| \frac{Q^* F'^* H^3 h}{(F F^*)^2} \right\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq \int_D \|F'^* h\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq 4 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2.$$

Thus,

$$\int_D \|Q' \widetilde{w}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \leq C_2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2,$$

where $C_2 < \infty$ is independent of w and α .

Now we are just left with estimating (f') . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f') &= \int_D \|Q' \widehat{w} - Q' \widetilde{\widehat{w}}\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&= \int_D \|Q' \left[-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{w(u)}{u-z} dA(u) - \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-e^{-it}z|} \widehat{w}(e^{it}) d\sigma(t) \right]\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_D \|Q' \int_D w(u) \left[\frac{1}{u-z} + \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-e^{-it}z|} e^{-it} \frac{1}{1-ue^{-it}} d\sigma(t) \right] dA(u)\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_D \|Q' \int_D w(u) \left[\frac{1}{u-z} + \frac{\bar{z}}{1-u\bar{z}} \right] dA(u)\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_D \|Q' \int_D w(u) \left[\frac{1-|z|^2}{(u-z)(1-u\bar{z})} \right] dA(u)\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_D \|Q'(z) (1-|z|^2) T(w)(z)\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \\
&\leq \frac{\|M_Q\|^2}{\pi^2} \|T(w)\|_{A_\alpha}^2 \quad \text{by Lemma 5} \\
&\leq \frac{256}{\alpha^4} \|M_Q\|^2 \|w\|_{A_\alpha}^2 \quad \text{by Lemma 3.} \\
&\leq \frac{1024}{\alpha^4} \|M_Q\|^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9 of [KT], we have $\|M_Q\|_{B(\oplus \mathcal{D}_\alpha)} \leq \sqrt{86}$. Combining all these pieces, we see that in the smooth case

$$\|\underline{u}_h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 \leq K(\alpha)^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2,$$

where $K(\alpha) = K_1 \|M_H\|_{B(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)} + \frac{K_2}{\alpha^2}$, where $K_1 < \infty$ and $K_2 < \infty$ are constants independent of h, ϵ and α .

By the proof of Theorem 1 in the smooth case, we have

$$M_{F_r}^R (M_{F_r}^R)^* \leq K(\alpha)^2 M_{H_r} M_{H_r}^* \text{ for } 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Using a commutant lifting argument, there exists $G_r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ so that $M_{F_r}^R M_{G_r}^C = M_{H_r}^3$ and $\|M_{G_r}^R\| \leq K(\alpha)$. Then $M_{F_r}^R \rightarrow M_F^R$ and $M_{H_r} \rightarrow M_H$ as $r \uparrow 1$ in the \star -strong topology.

By compactness, we may choose a net with $G_{r_\alpha}^* \rightarrow G^*$ as $r_\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$. Since the multiplier algebra (as operators) is WOT closed, $G \in$

$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bigoplus_1^\infty \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Also, since $F_{r_\alpha}^* \xrightarrow{s} F^*$, we get $M_{H_r}^* = M_{G_r}^{*C} M_{F_r}^{*R} \xrightarrow{WOT} M_G^{*C} M_F^{*R}$ and so $M_F^R M_G^C = M_{H^3}$ with entries of G in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ and $\|M_G^C\| \leq K(\alpha)$.

This ends our proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [A] M. Andersson, *Topics in Complex Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [AM] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy, *Pick interpolation and Hilbert spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (2002).
- [BT] D. Banjade and T. Trent, *Wolff's problem of ideals in the multiplier algebra on Dirichlet space*, submitted, arXiv:1302.5732.
- [C] L. Carleson, *Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Annals of Math. **76** (1962), 547-559.
- [G] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [KT] B. Kidane and T.T. Trent, *The corona theorem for the multiplier algebra on weighted Dirichlet spaces*, Rocky Moun. J. Math. **43** (2013), 1-31.
- [R] M. Rosenblum, *A corona theorem for countably many functions*. Int. Equ. Op. Theory **3** (1980), 125-137.
- [S] S. Shimorin, *Complete Nevanlinna-Pick property of Dirichlet-type spaces*, J. Func. Anal. **191** (2002), 276-296.
- [To] V.A. Tolokonnikov, *Estimate in Carleson's corona theorem and infinitely generated ideals in the algebras H^∞* , Functional Anal., Prilozhen **14** (1980), 85-86, in Russian.
- [T] S.R. Treil, *Estimates in the corona theorem and ideal of H^∞ : A problem of T. Wolff*, J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 481-495.
- [Tr1] T.T. Trent, *An estimate for ideals in $H^\infty(D)$* , Integral Equations and Operator Theory **53** (2005), 573-587.
- [Tr2] ———, *A corona theorem for the multipliers on Dirichlet space*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **49** (2004), 123-139.
- [Zy] A. Zygmund, *Integrales Singulieres*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BOX 870350,
TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487-0350, (205)758-4275

E-mail address: dpbanjade@crimson.ua.edu, ttrent@as.ua.edu